

The Rights and Obligations of States in Disputed Maritime Areas

Youri van Logchem

CAMBRIDGE

III 25616

The Rights and Obligations of States in Disputed Maritime Areas

YOURI VAN LOGCHEM

28 5612
UNIVERSITATEA
"DUNĂREA DE JOS" GALAȚI
BIBLIOTECA 2

C-285612T

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Contents

Preface and Acknowledgements *page* x
Table of Cases xiii
Table of Treaties and Legislation xvi
List of Abbreviations xviii

1 **Introduction** 1
1.1 Objectives 5
1.2 Outline 9

2 **Disputed Maritime Areas: Setting the Scene** 13
2.1 Sketching the Background 14
2.2 Legal Bases for a Claim over a Maritime Area by a State 18
2.3 Claimant States and Disputed Maritime Areas 21
2.3.1 Mineral Resources 24
2.3.2 Placing Installations 32
2.3.3 Fisheries 33
2.3.4 Marine Data Collection 38
2.3.5 The Marine Environment 40
2.3.6 Responding – or Not – to a Unilateral Act 42
2.4 Third States, their Nationals, and Disputed Maritime Areas 50
2.5 Concluding Remarks 56

3 **Disputed Maritime Areas: General Rules of International Law** 58
3.1 Unilateralism in Disputed Maritime Areas: Threats to Peace and Security? 59
3.1.1 Greece and Turkey 61

3.1.2 Cyprus and Turkey 63
3.1.3 Libya and Malta 65
3.2 Peaceful Settlement of Maritime Boundary Disputes 67
3.3 Exercising Restraint 69
3.4 Irreparable Prejudice to Rights 74
3.5 Non-Aggravation or Non-Extension of a Dispute 75
3.6 The Principle of Good Faith and the Prohibition on Abuse of Rights 78
3.7 The Principle of Due Regard 79
3.8 Preservation of the Status Quo 80
3.9 The No-Harm Principle 82
3.10 The Use or Threat of Force 84
3.11 State Responsibility 86
3.12 Concluding Remarks 89

4 Disputed Territorial Sea and Contiguous Zone Areas: Applicable Conventional Rules 91

4.1 Article 12 1958 CTS 93
4.2 Article 15 LOSC 96
4.2.1 Is an Interim Rule Provided? 98
4.2.2 What if Special Circumstances or a Historic Title Are Claimed? 101
4.3 Disputed Contiguous Zone Areas: Does an Interim Rule Exist? 103
4.4 Concluding Remarks 104

5 Disputed EEZ and Continental Shelf Areas: Applicable Conventional Rules 108

5.1 The Characteristics of Overlapping EEZ/Continental Shelf Claims 109
5.2 Article 6 1958 CSC 112
5.3 Articles 74(3) and 83(3) LOSC 118
5.3.1 Negotiating History 120
5.3.2 Textual Analysis 137
5.3.2.1 Agreement 138
5.3.2.2 During This Transitional Period 141
5.3.2.3 The States Concerned 142
5.3.2.4 In a Spirit of Understanding and Cooperation 143
5.3.2.5 Shall Make Every Effort 145

5.3.3 Interpreting the Obligation to Seek Provisional Arrangements 146
5.3.4 Interpreting the Obligation Not to Hamper or Jeopardise 151
5.3.5 The Relationship between the Two Obligations 155
5.3.6 Activation and the Ending of the Two Obligations 158
5.3.7 Scope of Application 163
5.3.8 The Equidistance Boundary as an Interim Rule 168
5.3.9 A Moratorium as an Interim Rule 170
5.3.10 A Reflection of Customary International Law? 172
5.3.11 How Useful Are Articles 74(3) and 83(3) LOSC? 174
5.3.12 Recalibrating the Importance of Articles 74(3) and 83(3) LOSC 176
5.4 Concluding Remarks 179

6 **Case Law Involving the Rights and Obligations of States in Disputed Maritime Areas** 183
6.1 *Aegean Sea Continental Shelf* (Interim Measures) 185
6.1.1 Turkey's Unilateral Seismic Work 185
6.2 *Guinea-Bissau* v. *Senegal* (Provisional Measures) 193
6.3 *Guyana* v. *Suriname* 197
6.3.1 Guyana's Authorisation to Allow Unilateral Drilling 200
6.3.2 Suriname's Response to the Rig 207
6.4 *Ghana/Côte d'Ivoire* 210
6.4.1 The Interim Measures Phase 210
6.4.2 The Special Chamber's Decision on the Merits 215
6.5 *Somalia* v. *Kenya* 220
6.6 The Relevance of Interim Measures Procedures for Determining the Rights and Obligations of States in Disputed Areas 222
6.7 Financial Reparability: The De Facto Paramount Criterion? 225
6.8 Can the Standards Developed in Case Law be Generalised? 227
6.9 The Failure of Suriname to Respond to the Drilling in a Lawful Manner 230
6.10 Concluding Remarks 234

7 **Disputed Waters Generated by Claims Made from Disputed Land Territory: What Are the Rights and Obligations of States?** 239

7.1 The Applicable Legal Regime 240
7.2 Applying Articles 74(3) and 83(3) LOSC: *De Lege Lata* or *de Lege Ferenda*? 244
7.3 Concluding Remarks 247

8 **Acts of Unilateralism in Disputed Maritime Areas: A Survey of State Practice** 249

8.1 Mineral Resources 250
8.1.1 Adriatic Sea 250
8.1.2 Atlantic Ocean 251
8.1.3 Bay of Bengal 253
8.1.4 Barents Sea 255
8.1.5 Beaufort Sea 256
8.1.6 Celebes Sea 257
8.1.7 East China Sea 257
8.1.8 Eastern Atlantic Ocean 260
8.1.9 Gulf of Guinea 261
8.1.10 Gulf of Maine 263
8.1.11 Gulf of Thailand 263
8.1.12 Kattegat 264
8.1.13 Mediterranean Sea 264
8.1.14 Natuna Sea 269
8.1.15 Pacific Ocean and Caribbean Sea 270
8.1.16 South China Sea 271
8.1.17 Timor Sea 275
8.1.18 Yellow Sea 275
8.2 Fisheries Activities 277
8.2.1 South China Sea 280
8.3 Marine Data Collection 282
8.4 Are State Practice and International Judicial Practice Aligned? 285
8.5 Concluding Remarks 288

9 **Are the Rights and Obligations of States in Disputed Maritime Areas Sufficiently Defined in International Law?** 290

9.1 Conventional Rules Applicable to Disputed Maritime Areas 292

9.2 General Tenets of International Law Applicable to Disputed Maritime Areas 295
9.3 The Scope for Unilateralism in Disputed Maritime Areas 299
9.3.1 The Exclusivity Approach 300
9.3.2 Circumstances as the Defining Variable 302
9.3.3 'Determining' the Scope for Unilateralism 307
9.3.3.1 Mineral Resources 307
9.3.3.2 Fisheries 308
9.3.3.3 Collecting Information through Activities Conducted in the Framework of MSR 310
9.3.3.4 Lawful Responses 312
9.4 Third States and Their Nationals 316
9.5 Where Does the Fault Lie? 317

Index 321