State-Owned Entities and Human Rights

The Role of International Law

Mihaela Maria Barnes



33

IDGE

State-Owned Entities and Human Rights

THE ROLE OF INTERNATIONAL LAW

MIHAELA MARIA BARNES
Lauterpacht Centre for International Law

CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Contents

List of Figures *page* xi
Foreword xiii
Michael Waibel
Acknowledgements xv
Table of Cases xvii
Table of Treaties xxiv
Table of Statutes and Statutory Instruments xxvi
List of Abbreviations xxviii

1 **Introduction to the Human Rights Dimension of State Corporate Ownership** 1
1.1 Introduction and Chapter Outline 1
1.2 Relevance 9
1.3 The Monograph in Context: The Universe of State-Owned Entities 11
1.3.1 A Very Short History of the State as Economic Actor 11
1.3.2 Definitions 21
1.4 What Is the Concern with State-Owned Entities? 28
1.4.1 Traditional Concerns about State-Owned Entities: Unfair Competition, National Security and Resource Security 28
1.4.2 New Concerns: Human Rights and State-Owned Entities 30
1.5 International Law, Human Rights and the Relationship with State Ownership 35
1.5.1 Human Rights in International Law 35

1.5.2 General Comments on the 'Respect, Protect and Fulfill' Framework 41
1.5.3 The Nature of States' Obligations to 'Respect, Protect and Fulfill' Human Rights 42
1.5.4 The Relationship between Human Rights, International Law and State Corporate Ownership 46
1.6 Interim Conclusion 49

2 State-Owned Entities as a Sui Generis 'Participant' in International Law 50
2.1 Introduction 50
2.2 The Concept of Legal Personality in International Law 50
2.3 Corporations as 'Subjects' of International Law 60
2.4 State-Owned Entities as a Sui Generis Participant in International Law 65
2.4.1 State-Owned Entities in International Law 65
2.4.2 Differentiating between State-Owned Entities and Privately Owned Entities 68
2.5 The Recognition of State-Owned Entities as a Sui Generis Participant in International Law: Obstacles from International Law? 89
2.6 State-Owned Entities as Sui Generis Participant in International Law: Consequences 91
2.6.1 Consequences for International Law in General: Increased Heterogeneity and Complexity of Participants 91
2.6.2 Consequences for State-Owned Entities: A 'Duty' to Respect Human Rights 92
2.7 Interim Conclusion 100

3 State-Owned Entities and Norm Development in International Law: International, Regional and Domestic Approaches to Regulation 102
3.1 Introduction 102
3.2 General Remarks on Regulatory Theory 103
3.3 International Approaches to the Regulation of State-Owned Entities 105
3.3.1 The United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) 105
3.3.2 OECD Instruments 111

3.3.3 The ILO Tripartite Declaration concerning Multinational Enterprises 119
3.3.4 Sovereign Wealth Funds Generally Accepted Principles and Practices 121
3.3.5 The Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative 123
3.3.6 UN Global Compact 127
3.3.7 The Application of Other Relevant Instruments 128
3.4 Regional Approaches to the Regulation of State-Owned Entities 130
3.5 Domestic Approaches to the Regulation of State-Owned Entities 135
3.6 Weaving the Threads Together: Implications for International Law 141
3.7 Interim Conclusion 151

4 **Fundamental Change in International Law: State Immunity and State-Owned Entities** 152
4.1 Introduction 152
4.2 General Comments on State Immunity 153
4.3 Separate Entities and State Immunity 160
4.3.1 Assimilating State-Owned Entities into the State 162
4.3.2 Piercing the Corporate Veil of State-Owned Entities 168
4.4 Distinguishing between Acts *jure imperii* and Acts *jure gestionis* 174
4.5 Exceptions to State Immunity 181
4.5.1 State-Owned Entities and the Commercial Transactions Exception 182
4.5.2 State-Owned Entities and the Non-commercial Tort Exception 186
4.5.3 State-Owned Entities and Human Rights Violations: An Exception to Immunity? 190
4.6 Interim Conclusion 201

5 **The Continued Relevance of General International Law: State Responsibility and State-Owned Entities** 202
5.1 Introduction 202
5.1.1 The Scope and Nature of State Responsibility 203
5.1.2 Breach of an International Obligation 210
5.2 Responsibility by Attribution of Conduct 212
5.2.1 General Comments on the Concept of Attribution 212

5.2.2 Attribution Based on Status: When Does a State-Owned Entity Have the Status of a 'State Organ'? 216
5.2.3 Attribution Based on the Exercise of 'Governmental Authority': Was the State-Owned Entity in Exercise of 'Governmental Authority'? 221
5.2.4 Attribution Based on Control: Is the State-Owned Entity under the 'Direction or Control' of the State? 225
5.2.5 An Assessment of the Rules of Attribution Found in General International Law and Their Applicability to Other Regimes 232
5.3 Responsibility for Lack of Due Diligence 237
5.3.1 General Comments on the Concept of Due Diligence in International Law 237
5.3.2 State Corporate Ownership and the Concept of Due Diligence in International Law 245
5.3.3 A State's Due Diligence Obligations Apply Extraterritorially 251
5.4 Interim Conclusion 263

6 Concluding Remarks 265

Bibliography 269

Index 299

Figures

1.1	Global reach of state-owned enterprises (IMF)	*page* 18
1.2	Share of SOEs among the world's 2,000 largest firms (IMF)	19
1.3	The universe of state-owned entities	29